Specimen material	$ ho_0 ho$ (g/cm <sup>3</sup> )	C <sub>0</sub> (m/sec)	Intercept U <sub>s</sub> (m/sec)	Longitudinal Sound velocity (m/sec)	Incident $U_s(H_2O)$ (m/sec)	Transmitted $U_{s}(H_{2}O)$ (m/sec)	$K  m (cm^2/dyn)$
Brass (Cu Zn) 36 at.%Zn Aluminum Boron Nitride Vinylidene	8.40 2.70 2.20	3815 5454 2628	3860 5350 2831	4700 <sup>12</sup> 6420 <sup>12</sup>	1493 1480 1501	1479 1476 1496	
Chloride TNT Plexiglas	1.60 1.61 1.18	2690 2574 2760	2390 2710	2586±80 <sup>13</sup> 2770 <sup>8</sup> 2650 <sup>10</sup>	1473 1495 1497	1466 1463 1492	$\begin{array}{c} 0.86 \times 10^{-11} \\ 0.94 \times 10^{-11} \\ 1.11 \times 10^{-11} \\ 1.3 \times 10^{-11} \\ 1.7 \times 10^{-11} \\ 10^{$
Nylon Epoxy-2 Epoxy-3	1.15 1.20 1.12	2616 2535 2402	2300	2650 <sup>8</sup>	$1474 \\ 1476 \\ 1498 \\ 1500$	$     \begin{array}{r}       1480 \\       1458 \\       1463 \\       1455     \end{array} $	$\begin{array}{c} 1.27 \times 10^{-11} \\ 1.30 \times 10^{-11} \\ 1.55 \times 10^{-11} \\ \end{array}$
Epoxy-1 Epoxy-4 Teflon	1.18 1.16 2.03	2254 2098 1453	1991	1340±47 <sup>8</sup>	1500 1490 1494	1475 1468 1467	$1.07 \times 10^{-11}$ $1.96 \times 10^{-11}$ $2.33 \times 10^{-11}$

TABLE II. Sound velocities and compressibilities.

this method, intense light is obtained from the explosion of a 5.1-cm-long 0.012-cm-diam tungsten wire threaded within a 1-mm-bore capillary tube. This light is collimated by a field lens positioned behind the aquarium. The shock motion is delineated by the disturbance it produces in the light that reaches the film through the smear-camera slit. The tungsten wire is exploded by the discharge of a  $4-\mu F$  capacitor charged to 4000 V. The backlighting is usually delayed to occur  $\sim 150 \,\mu \text{sec}$ after the initiation of the incident shock from the detonator. A camera writing speed of  $\sim 1.3 \text{ mm}/\mu\text{sec}$  is best for recording wave propagation in most liquids, using the above experimental geometry. Figure 2 is a typical record resulting from the experimental arrangement. With proper interpretation, it allows measuring the velocity of the incident wave striking the specimen, the transit time of the wave<sup>6</sup> in the specimen, and the velocity of the emerging wave in the water below.

## II. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Table II gives the results obtained for several materials and lists the density,  $\rho_0$ ; the sound velocity in the specimen,  $C_0$ ; the velocity of the incident wave in the water, Incident  $U_s(H_2O)$ ; and the velocity of the wave transmitted from the specimen to the water below, Transmitted  $U_s(H_2O)$ . Table II also contains values of the reciprocal of the bulk modulus, i.e., the initial compressibility, K, determined for  $V = V_0$  in Eq. 16, and experimental values of the intercept of the linear  $U_s - u_p$  curve.

The combined errors produced by camera speed and magnification ratio measurements result in an error in the measured velocities not exceeding 0.3%. The velocity data listed are the average of three experiments run with each specimen material, except TNT and

<sup>6</sup> The wave propagation in transparent material, e.g., Plexiglas, is made visible by this technique.

polymethyl methacrylate (Plexiglas), for which five experiments each were conducted. The maximum mean velocity deviation was  $\pm 0.6\%$ , and this is considered the maximum error to be expected in the measurement of velocity.

A temperature variation of  $\pm 2^{\circ}$ C was possible during these determinations, principally because the experiments were conducted in bomb-proof facilities at times when temperature control was difficult to maintain. However, large errors due to temperature variations were avoided by adjusting the water temperature in the aquariums to 20°C at the time of firing.

The average values of the incident water-wave velocity and transmitted water-wave velocity in Table I are  $\sim 1490$  and  $\sim 1470$  m/sec, respectively. The velocity of sound<sup>7</sup> in distilled water at 20°C is 1481.63 m/sec. Therefore, considering the errors in measuring the weak



FIG. 2. Smear-camera shadowgraph of weak shock waves in water, obtained using the arrangement in Fig. 1. The upper and lower traces, respectively, are from the incident and transmitted waves in the water. The central dark bend denotes the specimen material.

<sup>7</sup> W. D. Wilson, J. Acoust. Soc. Amer. 31, 1067-1072 (1959).

The Journal of the Acoustical Society of America 271

shock propagation, the waves entering and leaving the specimen material may be treated as sonic. This view is supported by pressure measurements using piezoelectric gauges, which indicate that the incident pressure at the water-specimen interface does not exceed 50 bars.

For Plexiglas, the weak shock-velocity data compare favorably with ultrasonic measurements of longitudinal waves. For example, Auberges and Reinhart<sup>8</sup> give 2770 m/sec as compared to the weak shock measurement of  $2760 \pm 15$  m/sec. From this measurement, we find  $k = 1.11 \times 10^{-11} \text{ cm}^2/\text{dyn}$ . Kolsky's<sup>9</sup> results from pressure bar experiments give  $k = 1.3 \times 10^{-11}$ . Wada's<sup>10</sup> measurements of the velocities of longitudinal waves (2650 m/sec) and transverse waves (1240 m/sec) at 1.08×106 Hz give  $k = 1.7 \times 10^{-11}$  cm<sup>2</sup>/dyn. Pullen *et al.*<sup>11</sup> find much greater compressibility for molded Plexiglas specimens, with K ranging from  $2.5 \times 10^{-11}$  cm<sup>2</sup>/dyn to  $3.0 \times 10^{-11}$ cm<sup>2</sup>/dyn. These discrepancies in the compressibility and the close agreement of the weak shock measurement to the ultrasonic value for longitudinal waves in nylon, TNT, and Teflon in (Table II), indicate the method does actually give values associated with longitudinal waves. The  $C_0$  data of Table II then would be 10%-30%greater than the bulk sound velocities calculated from the elastic constants (see Eq. 14). This would, of course, result in erroneous values of the initial dynamic compressibility in Table II, compared to static data.

However, the conditions of shock propagation are not isentropic. Dynamic compressibilities may be different from the corresponding static values obtained under equal pressures. Also, dynamic and static yield strengths of a material do not necessarily have the same value. For solids with significant shear strength, which can contribute to the material stiffness, the values of  $C_0$  obtained by the shock-attenuation technique represent elastic-wave compressions and should agree with the velocities of low-pressure longitudinal waves. At the critical stress (the Hugoniot elastic limit), the specimen

<sup>10</sup> Y. Wada, J. Phys. Soc. Japan, **13**, 1390–1398 (1958). <sup>11</sup> W. J. Pullen, J. Roberts, and T. E. Whall, Polymer **5**, 471– 478 (1964).

material passes from elastic compression behavior to plastic- or fluidlike flow. In reaching this point, the elastic-wave data in the shock-velocity-particle-velocity plane follow a relation with its limiting wave velocity the zero-pressure longitudinal sound speed. For the lowamplitude limit of the plastic wave, the limiting velocity is the bulk sound speed. For aluminum, however, the measured  $C_0$  value in Table I is 5454 m/sec. This value is 15% less than the longitudinal sound velocity, (6420 m/sec), but it exceeds the ultrasonic bulk sound velocity (5375 m/sec) by only 1.5% and probably indicates the shock in aluminum attenuated to a value close to the yield stress. Similar results are obtained for brass (Cu Zn alloy containing 36 at.% Zn) as compared to the ultrasonic data available only for a Cu Zn alloy<sup>12</sup> containing 30 at.% Zn.

The  $C_0$  value for Teflon, however, differs considerably  $(\sim 30\%)$  in Table II from the intercept  $U_s$ , which was obtained by a linear extrapolation of shock data in the 25-kbar to 290-kbar range. This result indicates the  $U_s$ - $u_p$  relation for Teflon may not be linear and the possibility of a phase change exists. Several investigators including Bridgman<sup>13</sup> have reported that Teflon undergoes a transition at 6.5 kbar. For the other pressed materials in Table II, e.g., TNT,14 BN,15 and vinylidene chloride, where the incident weak shock stress approaches the yield strength, the velocities measured apparently are close to the bulk sound velocities and the compressibilities in Table II are probably accurate values.

## ACKNOWLEDGMENT

The author sincerely appreciates the assistance of W. A. Brown in the experimental part of this work. The epoxide resins were prepared by the Non-Metallics Materials Division of this Laboratory.

<sup>15</sup> Boron nitride transforms under shock compression at 122 kbar. Its  $U_s$ - $u_p$  data are related linearly below the transition pressure. See N. L. Coleburn and J. W. Forbes, J. Chem. Phys. 48, 555-559 (1968).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup> M. Auberger and J. S. Reinhart, J. Appl. Phys. 32, 219-222 (1961). <sup>9</sup> H. Kolsky, Proc. Soc. **B62**, 676–700 (1949).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>12</sup> American Institute of Physics Handbook (McGraw-Hill Book Co., New York, 1957). <sup>13</sup> J. B. Ramsay and A. Popolato, 4th Symp. on Detonation,

U. S. Naval Ordnance Lab., Silver Spring, Md., 4th, (Oct. 1965) 14 P. W. Bridgman, Proc. Amer. Acad. Arts Sci. 76, 71-80 (1948).